



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
 Washington, D.C. 20231

09/226,046

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

31

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) MICHAEL PAHL (Ex.)
- (2) Yvonne Eyle (Sup. Ex.)
- (3) Andrew Torrance
- (4) Jarvis Fraser

Date of Interview: 9/8/03

☒ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative ☐ PTO personnel

Subject: PROPOSED CLAIM FAXED

Agreement: ☐ was reached ☐ was not reached

On-line(s) discussed: PENDING AND PROPOSED CLAIMS

Identification of relevant prior art: NONE

Discussion of the interview: DISCUSSED THAT

112p2 would be overcome. The functional language ^{well known} 112p1 when the candidate compound contacts the receptor directly or with a control step which takes into account non-specific stimulation or deactivation on the cell by other receptors.

Agreement would render the claims allowable.

☒ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative ☐ PTO personnel

SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Examiner's response to the interview: The examiner has been assigned to each of the objections, and has been assigned to the interview. The examiner has been assigned to the interview, and has been assigned to the interview. The examiner has been assigned to the interview, and has been assigned to the interview.

Examiner's response to the interview: The examiner has been assigned to each of the objections, and has been assigned to the interview. The examiner has been assigned to the interview, and has been assigned to the interview. The examiner has been assigned to the interview, and has been assigned to the interview.

Michael D. Pahl